EXHIBIT 14

```
Page 1
1
              IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
              FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
3
                        EASTERN DIVISION
      DOROTHY FORTH, DONNA
4
      BAILEY, LISA BULLARD,
5
      RICARDO GONZALES,
                                    Case No.
      CYNTHIA RUSSO, TROY,
                                     1:17-cv-02246
      INTERNATIONAL
6
      BROTHERHOOD OF
7
      ELECTRICAL WORKERS LOCAL
      38 HEALTH AND WELFARE
8
      FUND, INTERNATIONAL
      UNION OF OPERATING
9
      ENGINEERS LOCAL 295-295C
      WELFARE FUND, AND
10
      STEAMFITTERS FUND LOCAL
      439, on Behalf of
11
      Themselves and All
      Others Similarly
12
      Situated,
                   Plaintiffs,
13
        VS.
      WALGREEN CO.,
                   Defendant.
14
15
                     VIDEO DEPOSITION OF
                 STEAMFITTERS FUND LOCAL 439
16
17
                        by and through
                   CHARLES E. BAILEY JUNIOR
18
19
                        June 11, 2019
20
                           9:27 a.m.
               10 South Wacker Drive, 40th Floor
21
22
                      Chicago, Illinois
23
24
        Deanna Amore - CRR, RPR, CSR - 084-003999
```

Page 27 Steamfitters 439? 1 2 Q. Yes. Α. No. 4 Did you talk with anyone at the Union, Q. Steamfitters Fund 439 itself, as opposed to people 5 6 that work for the Fund? About the case or about --Α. 8 O. About the case? 9 Α. They are aware that I'm involved with it, 10 yes. 11 Did you have any substantive discussions with them about the case? 12 Not really. I mean, just -- just talking 13 Α. 14 kind of what the details of the -- of what we're 15 here for basically. 16 What did you discuss with them? What --0. 17 That we believe we were overcharged by Α. 18 Walgreens. 19 But you did not talk with them to prepare Q. 20 for your deposition at all? 21 Α. No. 22 Did you talk with anyone formally 23 associated with the Fund to prepare for your 24 deposition?

Page 28 "Associated"? Could that mean our 1 2 consultant from J.W. Terrill? 3 Q. You already talked about your consultant from J.W. Terrill. 4 5 Let me ask this question: Other than your 6 attorneys and J.W. Terrill, Ms. Jaegers, did you 7 talk with anyone else to prepare for your 8 deposition? Α. No. 10 0. Did you meet in person with your attorneys 11 to prepare for this deposition? Yes. 12 Α. 13 How many times? Q. 14 Α. Twice. 15 0. And when were those meetings? 16 Yesterday and it was about three weeks Α. 17 ago. It was when we were supposed to do the last 18 deposition. 19 Let's talk about the meeting three weeks 20 ago. Where did that take place? 21 Α. In Chicago. 2.2 Do you remember the date of the meeting? 23 I want to say it was, like, May 15, but Α. 24 I'm not sure. I can't recollect the exact date.

Page 29 And who was present for that meeting? 1 0. 2 Α. Joe Guglielmo, Charley Schaffer, and 3 C.J. Baricevic and myself. And about how long did that meeting take 4 Ο. 5 place -- take -- strike that. 6 About how long did that meeting take? 7 Α. I believe we were there six hours or so. 8 And did you look at any documents during 0. 9 that meeting? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And those were the documents that were in 0. the binders? 12 1.3 Α. Yes, sir. 14 And the meeting yesterday, where did that Ο. 15 take place? 16 Α. In Chicago as well. 17 And who was present for that? 0. 18 Joe Gugliemo, Charley Schaffer, 19 C.J. Baricevic and Dave Cates. And how long did that meeting take place? 20 0. 21 Α. About six hours. 22 Q. And did you look at any documents 23 together? 24 Α. Yes.

Page 30 And were those the documents that were in 1 0. 2 the binders? Α. Yes, sir. At that first meeting, did you review any 4 Q. 5 of the contracts with your PBMs? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And at yesterday's meeting, did you review 8 any of the contracts with the PBMs? 9 Α. Yes. Did you -- in addition to any in-person 10 0. 11 meetings, did you have any phone conferences with 12 your attorneys to prepare for the deposition? Yes, we did. 13 Α. 14 And how many phone conversations? 0. 15 Α. We had two. 16 Q. And when were they? 17 We had one about a week before we came the Α. 18 first visit to Chicago, and then we had one last 19 Wednesday. I guess it would have been the -- maybe the 6th or 7th. 20 21 And the first conversation, who was on 2.2 that call? 23 Joe Gugliemo, Charley Schaffer, 24 C.J. Baricevic, and myself.

- A. I don't believe -- if it's a different price, why were we paying more than a non-insured public?
 - Q. What do you mean "non-insured public"?
- A. I mean you don't have to have insurance to get the generics at a -- the PSC price. We have insurance. We pay our bills, and we weren't getting that same savings.
- Q. Do you understand the Fund is seeking to bring this case as a class action?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Do you know what a class action is?
- 13 A. It's a collective lawsuit.
 - Q. Can you give me any additional description?
 - A. I'm not a lawyer. I can't describe what a class action lawsuit is.
 - Q. Do you know what the Fund's role in the litigation is?
 - A. The Fund and me speaking as the Fund,

 I have a -- as a trustee, I have a fiduciary

 responsibility to recoup any money losses since we

 are self-funded, and money is coming in from

 membership voluntarily to cover this. So it's our

Page 121 responsibility to try to recover if we've been 1 2 damaged. 3 Q. Do you know what the phrase "class representative" means? 4 5 I've heard it, yes. Α. 6 What do you understand that to mean? 0. 7 Again, I'm not a lawyer, but I believe it 8 means that you're maybe the lead plaintiff or lead 9 witness against somebody that you're suing. 10 Do you know what obligations -- well, 11 first of all, do you understand that the Fund is acting as a class representative here? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you know what the Fund's obligations 15 are as a class representative? 16 For our members or for the suit? Α. 17 As a class representative in a case that 0. 18 the Fund is seeking to be named by the Court as one 19 of the named plaintiffs, one of the class 20 representatives, does the Fund understand what its 21 obligations are if it were named as a class 22 representative in the case? 2.3 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And what are those obligations?

- A. You could possibly go to trial.
- Q. Any case could go to trial. So I'm not sure I know what you mean by that answer.
- A. You are asking me what obligations. I am here. I'm at deposition. I've prepped. I've looked at information, and if it all said I have to go to trial, then I'd have to go there as well.
- Q. Do you understand as a named plaintiff, the Fund has an obligation to represent all the members of the class and not just represent the Fund's own interests?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

24

- Q. Do you know whether the Fund has any financial obligations as a class representative?
 - A. Financial obligations to?
- 16 Q. To your lawyers.
- 17 A. Oh, no, no.
- 18 Q. The Fund isn't paying the lawyers 19 anything?
- 20 A. No, sir.
- 21 Q. Do you know whether the Fund is 22 responsible for any of the expenses in the case?
- A. I mean, they are paying my wages.
 - Q. Who is paying your wages?

BY MR. LEIB:

2.3

- Q. I believe we talked about this a little bit. The Fund was asked to collect documents in this case and provide them to your lawyers; correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you describe the process the Fund undertook to find all the documents it was asked to collect?
- A. I know we linked up with J.W. Terrill and Kathy Jaegers, and she got information from LDI.

 J.W. Terrill also gave us any information they had.

 We looked through the hall for any information that may have been in file cabinets that wasn't found by J.W. Terrill or LDI, which all of our information comes from them. So we shouldn't have had nothing that they didn't have. And then also, all communications over the computer were found by a consultant that the attorneys hired to do a scan on the computers to find any emails or documents.
- Q. So who participated in the search for paper documents?
- A. Dave Cates, Janie Bailey, and the consultant as far as computer records went.

- Q. What -- no -- oh, I'm talking just paper.
- 2 A. Oh, paper.
- 3 Kathy Jaegers, probably Dave Schroff from
- 4 | LDI was probably on involved on their end;
- 5 | Janie Bailey; Dave Cates; and C.J. Baricevic.
- 6 Q. And where -- in the hall are there -- is
- 7 | there a separate area where -- I think we --
- 8 | I asked you this before, but I can't remember the
- 9 answer.

1

- 10 Are the Fund documents separated from the
- 11 | rest of the Union documents?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So where are the Fund documents kept in
- 14 | the hall?
- 15 A. In fireproof cabinets.
- 16 Q. How many cabinets?
- 17 A. I think it's in maybe one three-level
- 18 | cabinet.
- 19 O. And does the Fund have a destruction
- 20 policy?
- 21 A. I don't know the exact policy as far as
- 22 | the Health and Welfare. I know all of their
- 23 | documents, we have to hold for seven years. I'm
- 24 | sure it applies to the same thing. That's all

Page 291 under ERISA, document controlled. So it's five 1 2 years in a training program. I think it's seven with everything else. And were all of the documents in those 4 file cabinets reviewed? 5 6 Α. I believe so, yes. 7 Did you have any involvement personally in 8 search? 9 Α. No, I didn't come into office until January 1, 2019. So I was still in the field 10 11 before then, and this took place prior to that. 12 Q. Were there any recent requests for 13 documents? 14 Not that I was involved with, no. Α. 15 0. Who else would have been involved? 16 Α. The same names. 17 So the attorneys could call Janie Bailey Ο. and ask her for documents? 18 19 She would lead them in the direction they 20 needed to go. 21 Q. Did the attorneys have direct contact with 22 Ms. Bailey? 2.3 Α. Yes. 24 Q. And as far as the computer search goes,

what computer records were searched?

And the reason I ask is you have no employees; right?

A. No.

- Q. So was it the Union's computer records that were searched, or was it specific custodians within the Union that was searched?
- A. It was the Union's computers, and I think it was basically all Janie and my father's emails or all emails sent to them. Again, I don't know 100 percent of what information was gathered in this because when this took place, I wasn't involved at the hall at that time. I was just a trustee.
- Q. Do you understand that the documents are just one of the topics of this deposition?
- A. Yes. And I know they did complete a search in the computers, and as we looked at many emails, I'm sure that's where all of those were derived from. I don't think we'd have to get this type of information on a computer because this comes from our PBMs. So we just needed the conversations, it seems like.
 - Q. Other than Janie and your father, is there

CERTIFICATE

I, DEANNA AMORE, a Shorthand Reporter and notary public, within and for the State of Illinois, County of DuPage, do hereby certify:

That CHARLES E. BAILEY JUNIOR, the witness whose examination is hereinbefore set forth, was first duly sworn by me and that this transcript of said testimony is a true record of the testimony given by said witness.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of June 2019.

Deanna M. Amore, CRR, RPR, CSR

Cenna amos